

person of ordinary skill in the art. All such variations are considered to be within the scope and spirit of the present invention as defined by the following claims and their legal equivalents.

5

## CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

- 10 1. A voter for a redundant system with n modules wherein each of said n modules generates a word output, comprising:
  - 15 (a) a word voter receiving said word output from each of said n modules; and
  - (b) a voter decision generated by said word voter utilizing a word basis of said word output of each of said n modules.
2. The voter as set forth in claim 1, wherein said word voter is based on a majority voting principle.
3. The voter as set forth in claim 1, wherein said word output comprises two or more bits.
- 20 4. The voter as set forth in claim 1, wherein said word voter comprises  $\left( \begin{matrix} n \\ \lceil n/2 \rceil \end{matrix} \right)$  matching circuits.
- 25 5. The voter as set forth in claim 1, wherein said word voter comprises exact matching.

6. The voter as set forth in claim 1, wherein said word voter comprises inexact or threshold matching.

5 7. The voter as set forth in claim 1, further comprising an error signal when not a majority of modules in said n modules generates a same word output.

8. The voter as set forth in claim 7, wherein said error signal is a self-checking error signal.

10

9. The voter as set forth in claim 1, wherein an entire word of said word output is considered by said word voter.

10. The voter as set forth in claim 1, wherein a subset of each word in said word output is considered by said word voter.

11. The voter as set forth in claim 1, wherein said redundant system is a circuitry system.

20

12. The voter as set forth in claim 11, wherein said circuitry system comprises a logical circuitry module.

13. The voter as set forth in claim 1, wherein said redundant system comprises a data or signal processing module.

25

14. The voter as set forth in claim 1, wherein said redundant system is a triple modular redundancy system and said n equals 3.
15. The voter as set forth in claim 1, wherein said redundant system is a triple modular redundancy simplex system and said n equals 3 and configures to a simplex system comprising a non-faulty module wherein said n equals 1 in case one of said n modules becomes faulty.
16. The voter as set forth in claim 1, wherein said n modules have different implementations with a same functionality.
17. The voter as set forth in claim 1, wherein said n modules have same implementations with a same functionality.
18. A method of designing circuitry systems, comprising the steps of:
- (a) providing a redundant system with n modules wherein each of said n modules generates a word output;
  - (b) providing a word voter receiving said word output from each of said n modules; and
  - (c) generating a voter decision by said word voter utilizing a word basis of said word output of each of said n modules.
19. The method as set forth in claim 18, wherein said word voter is based on a majority voting principle.

20. The method as set forth in claim 18, wherein said word output comprises two or more bits.

21. The method as set forth in claim 18, wherein said word voter comprises  $\left( \begin{matrix} n \\ \lceil n/2 \rceil \end{matrix} \right)$  matching circuits.

22. The method as set forth in claim 18, wherein said word voter comprises exact matching.

23. The method as set forth in claim 18, wherein said word voter comprises inexact or threshold matching.

24. The method as set forth in claim 18, further comprising the step of generating an error signal when not a majority of modules in said n modules generates a same word output.

25. The method as set forth in claim 24, wherein said error signal is a self-checking error signal.

26. The method as set forth in claim 18, wherein an entire word of said word output is considered by said word voter.

27. The method as set forth in claim 18, wherein a subset of each word in said word output is considered by said word voter.

28. The method as set forth in claim 18, wherein said redundant system is a circuitry system.

29. The method as set forth in claim 28, wherein said circuitry system comprises a logical circuitry module.

30. The method as set forth in claim 18, wherein said redundant system comprises a data or signal processing module.

31. The method as set forth in claim 18, wherein said redundant system is a triple modular redundancy system and said  $n$  equals 3.

32. The method as set forth in claim 18, wherein said redundant system is a triple modular redundancy simplex system and said  $n$  equals 3 and configures to a simplex system comprising a non-faulty module wherein said  $n$  equals 1 in case one of said  $n$  modules becomes faulty.

33. The method as set forth in claim 18, wherein said  $n$  modules have different implementations with a same functionality.

34. The method as set forth in claim 18, wherein said  $n$  modules have same implementations with a same functionality.

35. A method of using a word voter for hardware systems, comprising the step of:

(a) providing a redundant system with  $n$  modules wherein each of said  $n$  modules generates a word output;

- (b) providing a word voter receiving said word output from each of said n modules;  
and
- (c) generating a voter decision by said word voter utilizing a word basis of said word output of each of said n modules.

5

36. The method as set forth in claim 35, wherein said word voter is based on a majority voting principle.

37. The method as set forth in claim 35, wherein said word output comprises two or more bits.

10

38. The method as set forth in claim 35, wherein said word voter comprises  $\left( \begin{matrix} n \\ \lceil n/2 \rceil \end{matrix} \right)$  matching circuits.

15  
20  
25  
30  
35  
40  
45  
50  
55  
60  
65  
70  
75  
80  
85  
90  
95

39. The method as set forth in claim 35, wherein said word voter comprises exact matching.

40. The method as set forth in claim 35, wherein said word voter comprises inexact or threshold matching.

20

41. The method as set forth in claim 35, further comprising the step of generating an error signal when not a majority of modules in said n modules generates a same word output.

42. The method as set forth in claim 41, wherein said error signal is a self-checking error signal.

43. The method as set forth in claim 35, wherein an entire word of said word output is considered by said word voter.

44. The method as set forth in claim 35, wherein a subset of each word in said word output is considered by said word voter.

45. The method as set forth in claim 35, wherein said redundant system is a circuitry system.

46. The method as set forth in claim 45, wherein said circuitry system comprises a logical circuitry module.

47. The method as set forth in claim 35, wherein said redundant system comprises a data or signal processing module.

48. The method as set forth in claim 35, wherein said redundant system is a triple modular redundancy system and said  $n$  equals 3.

49. The method as set forth in claim 35, wherein said redundant system is a triple modular redundancy simplex system and said  $n$  equals 3 and configures to a simplex system comprising a non-faulty module wherein said  $n$  equals 1 in case one of said  $n$  modules becomes faulty.

50. The method as set forth in claim 35, wherein said  $n$  modules have different implementations with a same functionality.

51. The method as set forth in claim 35, wherein said  $n$  modules have same implementations with a same functionality.

52. A word voter with  $n$  outputs for a triple modular redundancy system, comprising:

- (a) three pair-wise matching circuits each having  $n$  XNOR gates and  $n$  2-input AND gates to receive output vectors of modules of said triple modular redundancy system; and
- (b)  $2n$  2-input AND gates and  $n$  2-input OR gates to generate said  $n$  outputs.

53. The word voter as set forth in claim 52, further comprising a one 3-input NOR gate to generate an error signal independent of said  $n$  outputs.

54. A word voter with  $n$  outputs for a triple modular redundancy simplex system comprising:

- (a) three pair-wise matching circuits each having  $n$  XNOR gates and  $n$  2-input AND gates to receive output vectors of modules of said triple modular redundancy simplex system;
- (b)  $2n$  2-input AND gates and  $n$  2-input OR gates to generate said  $n$  outputs;
- (c) five 2-input AND gates and one 2-input OR gate independent of said  $n$ ; and
- (d) three flip-flops independent of said  $n$ .

55. The word voter of claim 54, further comprising a one 3-input NOR gate to generate an error signal independent of said  $n$ .



56. A word-voter with n outputs for a N modular redundancy system comprising:

(a)  $\binom{N}{\lceil N/2 \rceil}$  matching circuits; and

(b) said matching circuits having n sub-circuits each comprising two  $\lceil N/2 \rceil$ -input AND gates and one 2-input OR gate.

57. The word voter of claim 56, further comprising one  $\binom{N}{\lceil N/2 \rceil}$ -input NOR gate to generate an error signal independent of said n.

58. A word-voter with n outputs for a N modular redundancy system comprising:

(a)  $\binom{N}{\lceil N/2 \rceil}$  matching circuits;

(b) said matching circuit having a minimum number of pair-wise matching circuits, wherein said minimum number is derived for each said N using a covering procedure;

(c) said pair-wise matching circuits each having n XNOR gates and n 2-input AND gates to receive output vectors of modules of said N modular redundancy system; and

(d) AND gates to combine said output vectors of said pair-wise matching circuits.

59. The word voter of claim 58, further comprising one  $\binom{N}{\lceil N/2 \rceil}$ -input NOR gate to generate an error signal independent of said n.